

167.7

DOI:

10.15372/PS20180207

• •

«

»

«

»

«

».

I.E. Pris**ON «NON-EMPIRICAL CONFIRMATION» OF THE STRING THEORY**

The article considers Richard Dawid's arguments in favor of the thesis that a physical theory may be «confirmed non-empirically». This means increasing the degree of confidence that such a theory is empirically applicable. According to Dawid, the string theory has the status of a confirmed theory, despite the lack of empirical data. In particular, we analyze his «no alternatives argument». We conclude that the role of mathematics,

*

(16 -043 29.04.2016).

© . ., 2018

(, . .), , -
: () -
. -
. -
, , , [25]. -
, , -
. , -
- -
[3]. , -
, -
- - -
. -
, , , (-
) -
. -
(), -
, , -
. , , -
, , -
. ([1].) -
- -
, -

, , , , -

[11–13].

, , , -

[9; 20].

, , , , -

, -

, -

()

, () , -

, (, ,) , -

, .)

, -

, , -

, , -

, , -

(« »)

[17]. AdS/CFT-

, -

[19].

, , , -

. 2 ,

- , -

3,

-

« »

—

(),

[17; 18].

« »

« »

[10–13].

[28].

[27].

94% [17]).

[26–28; 30].

(« ».

[11–13]. ([5].)

E

« »

(

, , ,).

, $P(H|E) > P(H)$, , -

.

, , -

(), -

, , -

[13]. -

P.

:

: (1) -

, , -

(1) , -

: (2)

(2) (1) , () . -

, , ... -

, , -

, $P(H|E) > P(H)$. -

[5] , -

, , -

, ... , -

, -

, -

, -

, , -

([11-13]).

, , -

, , -

.

, -

,

.

« » -

,

[13].

,

,

(

) [8].

,

()

): (?)

,

« »

[13],

,

,

[25].

, -

[21]. ,

« »

, -

[10]. -

« » -

« » 40), (-

(100) -

, -

: « , -

)» [15]. , -

, -

. (-

[6]. -

, -

, -

(, -

) , -

, -

. (, , -

, (.)
 , . (,
 .
 « ».
 (truthifiable) – « » , -
 [24, p. 182].)
 « » (,
) , -
 ,
 . «
 , “ ”,
 : “ ”
 , “ ”
 ?» [7, p. 133].
 : « » , -
 () , -
 .
 « -
 » , -
 ,
 ,
 ,
 , . . . ,
 ()
 .
 post factum.
 ,
 « » -
 . -
 , -
 (« -
 » [2] .) . -
 . « ... -
 , -
 » [7, p. 221].

2. – URL:
https://royallib.com/read/vitgenshteyn_lyudvig/filosofskie_issledovaniya.html#0 (: 15.12.2017).
3. //
 ANALYTICA. – 2012. – 6. – . 18–35.
4. // . – 2014. – 8. – . 25–71.
5. *Basshuysen P.* Dawid et al.'s [2015] No Alternatives Argument: an empiricist note // KRITERION – Journal of Philosophy. – 2015. – 29 (1). – P. 37–50.
6. *Benoist J.* Éléments de Philosophie Réaliste. Réflexions sur ce que l'on a. – Paris: Vrin, 2011.
7. *Benoist J.* L'adresse du réel. – Paris: Vrin, 2017.
8. *Carter J.A., Pritchard D.* Inference to the best explanation and epistemic circularity // Best Explanations: New Essays on Inference to the Best Explanation / Ed. by K. McCain, T. Poston. – Oxford University Press, 2017. – URL: file:///C:/Users/root/Downloads/Inference_to_the_Best_Explanation_and_Ep.pdf (: 15.02.2018).
9. *Connes A.* Geometry and the Quantum. March 8, 2017. – URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1703.02470.pdf> (: 12.01.2018).
10. *Dawid R.* Delimiting the unconceived // Found. Phys. 2018. – URL: <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007%2Fs10701-017-0132-1.pdf> (: 18.02.2018).
11. *Dawid R.* Modelling Non-Empirical Confirmation. October 17, 2015. – URL: http://philsci-archiv.pitt.edu/11725/1/Modelling_scientific_confirmation.pdf (: 12.12.2017).
12. *Dawid R.* String Theory and the Scientific Method. – Cambridge University Press, 2013; 2015.
13. *Dawid R., Hartmann S., Sprenger J.* The No Alternatives Argument // British Journal for the Philosophy of Science. – 2015. – No. 66 (1). – . 213–234.
14. *Einstein A.* What is the theory of relativity? // Ideas and Opinions. – N.Y.: Bonanza, 1919. – P. 227–232.
15. *Einstein A., Infeld L.* The Evolution of Physics. – Cambridge University Press, 1938.
16. *Heisenberg W.* Der Begriff „Abgeschlossene Theorie“ in der Modernen Naturwissenschaft // Dialectica. – 1948. – No. 2. – P. 331–336.
17. *Polchinski J.* Dualities of Fields and Strings. 2015. – URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1412.5704.pdf> (: 10.02.2018).
18. *Polchinski J.* String theory to the rescue // The meeting “Why Trust a Theory?”. Reconsidering Scientific Methodology in Light of Modern Physics. Munich, Dec. 7–9, 2015. – URL: <https://www.kitp.ucsb.edu/sites/default/files/users/joep/Dawid15.pdf> (: 20. 01. 2018).
19. *Rosenstock S., Weatherall J.O.* A Categorical Equivalence between Generalized Holonomy Maps on a Connected Manifold and Principal Connections on Bundles over that Manifold. – URL: <http://arXiv:1504.02401v2> [math-ph] 10 Feb 2016 (: 15.01.2018).
20. *Rovelli C.* Quantum Gravity. – URL: http://www.scholarpedia.org/article/Quantum_gravity (: 15.01.2018).

21. *Rovelli C.* The Dangers of Non-Empirical Confirmation. – URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1609.01966.pdf> (: 05.01.2018).
22. *Smolin L.* Four Principles of Quantum Gravity. – URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1610.01968.pdf> (: 10.02.2018).
23. *Smolin L.* What are we missing in our search for quantum gravity // J. (ed.) Foundations of Mathematics and Physics One Century after Hilbert / Ed. by J. Kouneier. – Springer International Publishing, 2018.
24. *Wilczek F.* The Lightness of Being: Mass, Ether, and the Unification of Forces. – Basic Books, 2008.
25. *Williamson T.* Abductive philosophy // Philosophical Forum. – 2016. – Vol. 47, Iss. 3-4. – P. 263–280.
26. *Williamson T.* Knowledge and its Limits. – Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000.
27. *Williamson T.* Knowledge first // Contemporary Debates in Epistemology / Ed. by M. Steup, J. Turri, E. Sosa. – Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell, 2013. – P. 1–9.
28. *Williamson T.* Knowledge still first // Contemporary Debates in Epistemology / Ed. by M. Steup, J. Turri, E. Sosa. – Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell, 2013. – P. 22–25.
29. *Williamson T.* How deep is the distinction between *A priori* and *A posteriori*? // The A Priori in Philosophy / Ed. by A. Casullo, J. Thurow. – Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013. – P. 291–312.
30. *Williamson T.* Philosophy of Philosophy. – Oxford: Blackwell, 2007.

References

1. *Bezlepkin, E.A.* (2015). Metafizika teorii strun [Metaphysics of the string theory]. *Filosofiya nauki [Philosophy of Science]*, 3 (66), 19–33.
2. *Wittgenstein, L.* *Filosofskie issledovaniya* [Philosophical Investigations]. Available at: https://royallib.com/read/vitgenshteyn_lyudvig/filosofskie_issledovaniya.html#0 (date of access: 15.12.2017). (In Russ.).
3. *Pris', I.E.* (2012). smysle printspov sootvetstviya i edinstva fiziki [On the meaning of the correspondence principle and the principle of physics unity]. *ANALYTICA*, 6, 18–35.
4. *Pris', I.E.* (2014). *Filosofya fiziki Vernera Gaizenberga i ego ponyatie zamknutoy teorii v svete pozdnego Vitgenshtayna* [Werner Heisenberg's philosophy of physics and his concept of a closed theory in the light of the late Wittgenstein]. *Filosofskaya mysl [Philosophical Thought]*, 8, 25–71.
5. *Basshuysen, P.* (2015). Dawid et al.'s [2015] No Alternatives Argument: an empiricist note. *KRITERION – Journal of Philosophy*, 29 (1), 37–50.
6. *Benoist J.* *Éléments de Philosophie Réaliste. Réflexions sur ce que l'on a.* – Paris: Vrin, 2011.
7. *Benoist J.* *L'adresse du réel.* – Paris: Vrin, 2017.
8. *Carter, J.A. & D. Pritchard.* (2017). Inference to the best explanation and epistemic circularity. In: McCain, K. & T. Poston (Eds.). *Best Explanations: New Essays on Inference to the Best Explanation.* Oxford University Press. Available at: file:///C:/Users/root/Downloads/Inference_to_the_Best_Explanation_and_Ep.pdf (date of access: 15.02.2018).
9. *Connes, A.* (2017). *Geometry and the Quantum.* Available at: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1703.02470.pdf> (date of access: 12.01.2018).

10. Dawid, R. (2018). Delimiting the unconceived. *Found. Phys.* Available at: <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007%2Fs10701-017-0132-1.pdf> (date of access: 18.02.2018).
11. Dawid, R. (2015). Modelling Non-Empirical Confirmation. Available at: http://philsci-archive.pitt.edu/11725/1/Modelling_scientific_confirmation.pdf (date of access: 12.12.2017).
12. Dawid, R. (2013). *String Theory and the Scientific Method*. Cambridge University Press.
13. Dawid, R., S. Hartmann & J. Sprenger. (2015). The No Alternatives Argument. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, 66 (1), 213–234.
14. Einstein, A. (1919). What is the theory of relativity? In: *Ideas and Opinions*. New York, Bonanza, 227–232.
15. Einstein, A., & L. Infeld. (1938). *The Evolution of Physics*. Cambridge University Press.
16. Heisenberg, W. (1948). Der Begriff „Abgeschlossene Theorie“ in der Modernen Naturwissenschaft. *Dialectica*, 2, 331–336.
17. Polchinski, J. (2015). Dualities of Fields and Strings. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1412.5704.pdf> (date of access: 10.02.2018).
18. Polchinski, J. (2015). String theory to the rescue. The meeting Why Trust a Theory? Reconsidering Scientific Methodology in Light of Modern Physics. Munich, Dec. 7–9, 2015. Available at: <https://www.kitp.ucsb.edu/sites/default/files/users/joep/Dawid15.pdf> (date of access: 20.01.2018).
19. Rosenstock, S. & J.O. Weatherall. (2016). A Categorical Equivalence between Generalized Holonomy Maps on a Connected Manifold and Principal Connections on Bundles over that Manifold. Available at: [http://arXiv:1504.02401v2 \[math-ph\]](http://arXiv:1504.02401v2 [math-ph]) 10 Feb 2016 (date of access: 15.01.2018).
20. Rovelli, C. (2008). Quantum Gravity. Available at: http://www.scholarpedia.org/article/Quantum_gravity (date of access: 15.01.2018).
21. Rovelli, C. (2016). The Dangers of Non-Empirical Confirmation. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1609.01966.pdf> (date of access: 05.01.2018).
22. Smolin, L. (2016). *Four Principles of Quantum Gravity*. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1610.01968.pdf> (date of access: 10.02.2018).
23. Smolin, L. (2018). What are we missing in our search for quantum gravity. In: Kouneiher, J. (Ed.) *Foundations of Mathematics and Physics One Century after Hilbert*. Springer International Publishing.
24. Wilczek, F. (2008). *The Lightness of Being: Mass, Ether, and the Unification of Forces*. Basic Books.
25. Williamson, T. (2016). Abductive philosophy. *Philosophical Forum*, Vol. 47, Iss. 3-4, 263–280.
26. Williamson, T. (2000). *Knowledge and Its Limits*. Oxford, Oxford University Press.
27. Williamson, T. (2013). Knowledge first. In: Steup, M., J. Turri & E. Sosa (Eds.). *Contemporary Debates in Epistemology*. Oxford, Wiley-Blackwell, 1–9.
28. Williamson, T. (2013). Knowledge still first. In: Steup, M., J. Turri & E. Sosa (Eds.). *Contemporary Debates in Epistemology*. Oxford, Wiley-Blackwell, 22–25.
29. Williamson, T. (2013). How deep is the distinction between *A priori* and *A posteriori*? In: Casullo, A. & J. Thurow (Eds.). *The A Priori in Philosophy*. Oxford, Oxford University Press, 291–312.

30. *Williamson, T. (2007). Philosophy of Philosophy. Oxford, Blackwell.*

– – , –
, (, 220072, , . , 1,
. 2, e-mail: frigr@gmail.com).

Information about the author

Pris' Igor Evgenyevich – Candidate of Sciences (Physics and Mathematics; Philosophy), Senior Researcher at the Institute of Philosophy, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus (1/2, Surganov st., Minsk, 220072, Republic of Belarus, e-mail: frigr@gmail.com).

04.04.2018